BEST COPY

AVAILABLE



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES WASHINGTON 25

B-140712

November 24, 1959

Mr. Robert K. Thurber Authorised Certifying Officer Subversive Activities Control Board Washington 25. D. C. FILE COPY - COMP. GEN.

Dear Mr. Thurber:

Year letter of October 1, 1959, requests our decision upon the availability of the Subversive Activities Control Board's appropriation for salaries and expenses to reinburse a staff member of the Board for the huncheon expense he incurred (93.45), and which he now claims, in attending on behalf of the Board a recent interdepartmental conference sponsored by the President's Countities on Government Employment Pelicy.

The conference attended by the claiment was held on Wednesday, September 33, 1959, in Washington, D. C., his headquarters. It was a meeting of Federal administrators in the Washington area to review the past operation of the Federal non-discrimination employment policy ander Executive Order No. 10590 and discuss the problems which still confront the Egencies and the President's Counittee. The invitation to the conference, addressed to the Chairman of the Subversive Activities Control Board, stated in part:

"The conference will be held in the Congressional Room of the Willard Hetel, and will begin at 9:30 a.m. on September 23. The alosing session will be a luncheon meeting in the South Helirom of the Willard, at which Mr. Branch Rickey, the Conmittee's Vice Chairman, will be the speaker. The conference will close promptly at 2 p.m.

"A copy of the agenda for the conference is enclosed. We plan an informal type of meeting, and we hope for a full and free discussion of the items.

The would appreciate having from your office no later than September 18 the names of these persons the will be representing your agency at the conference, with particular information as to whether or not they will be attending the luncheon session. The cost of the luncheon will be \$2.45 per person, and can be paid at the morning segment or that order to the luncheon session.

As a general rule, "the expense of schulchesse of headquarters is personal to the explayee and mails ordinarily may not be Surniched him at Government expense within the limits of his headquarters." If Comp. Sen. 13k. The stated rule is predicated upon too Compressional constraints the Travel Expense Act of 15k?, as amended (5 t. 6. 6. 836), and the Revised Statutes, section 1765 (5 t. 8. 6. 76). The Survel Expense Act anthorizes the payment of scholaters aspects of officers and explayees withe they are on official husiness "many from their designated parts of daty." Section 1765 of the Revised Statutes prevides that no officer of the public service, or my other person whose salary, pay, or estimants are fixed by law or segminations, "special receive any additional pay, extru allowance, or compensation, in any face that therefor explicitly states that it is for such additional pay, extra allowance, or compensation." (Sudarcecoting explicates)

It would thus appear that in the absence of specific statutory and option the general appropriation for calcula and expenses of the Subversive Astivities Control Board is not evaluable for reinforcement of the lumbers emember insured. See Johnston v. Ented Status, 175 F. 24 612; 3-137999, Becomber 16, 1958.

The claiment, in a menorundum forwarded with your letter, refers to the Government Employees Training Act (5 U. S. C. 2301 at 250.) as particle a source of sutherity for the payment of his claim. It is not shown that the Subversive Activities Control Board views the Immedian sension—attendance at which was not necessary to otherwise participate in the conference as constituting "training" within the menning of that set. Her do we see any basis for such a determination, and, consequently, the previsions of that act conserving expanses of training (5 U. S. G. 2309) are not herein for consideration.

The membership of the claiment refers specifically to the provision of the deverment Employees Training Act making appropriations "svaliable for expenses of attendance at meetings." 5 E. S. C. 2018(b). The legislative bistory of the provision indicates it was intended to dispuse with the service approximation indicates in anticological provision in attending

overing upon a purely internal emference or mostley of the provision to now arranged. May, in any about, one we view the provision as authorizing agencies to incur an appense otherwise processed by the Rawleed Statutes section 1765.

Since there is no specific shouldny anthonity for the use of the heard's general appropriation for the espense involved, the elain herein is not for payment.

Martinit yours,

Joseph Campbell

Completellar Comment.